

tool 60 Indicators and Means of Verification for MRM-Related Activities

Matrix

Identifying adequate indicators for MRM-related activities depends on the context (security, availability of response services), the type of participation the NGO has chosen to have in the MRM and its objectives. Below are some suggested indicators and corresponding means of verification for the various types of engagement and objectives outlined elsewhere in this Resource Pack. Some of these indicators are relevant for internal planning purposes (e.g., work plans), while others can be useful for project proposals as action or outcome indicators (results frameworks, logframes).

Objective	Type of activities	Possible indicators	Means of verification
Decision-makers and the humanitarian community get accurate and credible information about violations perpetrated by armed actors against children in XX country/region	Monitoring Reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Number of individuals trained in data collection methods (including ethical considerations). <input type="checkbox"/> 100% of children/parents have given informed consent prior to interviews. <input type="checkbox"/> XX% of cases documented by our organization include information from multiple sources. <input type="checkbox"/> Our organization is able to retain access/presence in area/region xxx and monitor violations continuously. <input type="checkbox"/> XX% of cases monitored by our organization are reported to the UN MRM focal point. <input type="checkbox"/> XX% of cases monitored by our organization are reported by the MRM focal point to the CTFMR. <input type="checkbox"/> The main concerns identified through our MRM-related monitoring is accurately reflected in annual or country-specific reports to the Security Council. <input type="checkbox"/> Data analysis on grave violations identified by our organization is included in humanitarian public communication tools. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Activity reports. <input type="checkbox"/> Incident report/interview notes/informed consent forms. <input type="checkbox"/> Incident reports. <input type="checkbox"/> Case database. <input type="checkbox"/> Security assessments. <input type="checkbox"/> Case database. <input type="checkbox"/> Feedback or interview with MRM focal point and/or CTFMR members. <input type="checkbox"/> Annual and country-specific reports. <input type="checkbox"/> Situation reports, appeals.

TOOL 60

(cont'd)






Objective	Type of activities	Possible indicators	Means of verification
To ensure a response to the needs of children victims of conflict-related violations	Referrals Advocacy (funding) Coordination Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Referral pathways are in place for medical, mental health, psycho-social and legal assistance. <input type="checkbox"/> Referral gaps are addressed through the establishment of new response programs for victims of grave violations. <input type="checkbox"/> XX% of victims of grave violations identified through our MRM-related monitoring and in need of assistance are referred to service providers. <input type="checkbox"/> XX% of survivors of sexual violence identified through MRM monitoring receive medical assistance within 72h from the incident. <input type="checkbox"/> Needs assessments include information on prevalence and risk of grave violations (security permitting). <input type="checkbox"/> MRM data is used as baseline information in joint or unilateral program planning documents. <input type="checkbox"/> Number of child protection programs in which adjustments have been made in response to information on grave violations collected by our organization.	<input type="checkbox"/> Mapping of service providers. <input type="checkbox"/> Case database or incident reports. <input type="checkbox"/> Case database; minutes of case conferences. <input type="checkbox"/> Case database. <input type="checkbox"/> Needs assessment questionnaires and reports. <input type="checkbox"/> Proposals, appeals, organization or cluster work plans. <input type="checkbox"/> Project proposals; work plans.
To protect children and hold perpetrators accountable for violations	Awareness-raising (prevention) Monitoring Reporting Local advocacy International advocacy	<input type="checkbox"/> XX% of children and adults in a given community who are able to identify risks and concerns in relation to the grave violations. <input type="checkbox"/> Number of armed actors who participated in awareness-raising initiatives. <input type="checkbox"/> Number of children and adults who participated in awareness-raising initiatives. <input type="checkbox"/> XX% of children and adults in a given community who know who to report risks or instances of grave violations. <input type="checkbox"/> XX% of children and adults in a given community who actually report risks or instances of grave violations. <input type="checkbox"/> XX% of reports show a time gap of less than XX days between incident and report. <input type="checkbox"/> XX% of children at imminent risk of abuse by armed actors are safely relocated for their protection. <input type="checkbox"/> XX% of children and adults in a given community note an improvement in attitudes and practices linked to the protection of children and prevention of grave violations, in particular sexual violence and child recruitment.	<input type="checkbox"/> Project monitoring interview/questionnaire. <input type="checkbox"/> Meeting notes; activity reports; attendance sheets. <input type="checkbox"/> Activity reports; attendance sheets. <input type="checkbox"/> Project monitoring interview/questionnaire. <input type="checkbox"/> Case database; project monitoring interview/questionnaire. <input type="checkbox"/> Case database. <input type="checkbox"/> Case database. <input type="checkbox"/> Project monitoring interview/questionnaire.

TOOL 60

(cont'd)

Objective	Type of activities	Possible indicators	Means of verification
To protect children and hold perpetrators accountable for violations (cont'd)	<p>Awareness-raising (prevention)</p> <p>Monitoring</p> <p>Reporting</p> <p>Local advocacy</p> <p>International advocacy</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> XX% of children identified through our monitoring that were separated from armed forces or groups are reintegrated in their family, community or alternative space.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> XX% of children identified through our monitoring that were separated from armed forces or groups and reintegrated in their family, community or alternative space re-join armed forces or groups.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> XX% of follow-up advocacy actions lead to a tangible result (e.g., a school is vacated by an armed actor, denial of access to humanitarian assistance is lifted, demining/marking of a mine field, end of a threat/harassment).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> XX% of children victims of grave violations who are in contact with the police or courts are dealt with using child-friendly procedures in accordance with juvenile justice standards.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Criminal investigations are initiated for XX% of cases of grave violations referred to legal assistance providers by our organization.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The main concerns identified through our MRM-related monitoring are accurately reflected in annual or country-specific reports to the Security Council.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Case database; case follow-up reports; project monitoring interviews/questionnaires.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Case database.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Case database; case follow-up reports.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Case database; case follow-up reports.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Annual or country-specific reports to the Security Council.</p>

related tools

-  **tool 17** – Guiding questions ‘Clarifying goals and expectations before engaging in the MRM’
-  **tool 14** – Factsheet ‘Why do NGOs choose to participate in the MRM?’
-  **tool 50** – Factsheet ‘Linking monitoring, prevention and response to grave violations’
-  **tool 51** – Factsheet ‘The MRM and the cluster system’
-  **tool 52** – Guiding questions ‘Mapping service providers and identifying referral pathways’

other resources

- *Minimum Standards for Child Protection in Humanitarian Action*, Global Child Protection Working Group, 2012 (in particular indicators for Standard 4 – project cycle management; Standard 5 – Information management; Standard 6 – Child protection monitoring; Standard 11 – Children associated with armed forces or armed groups; Standard 14 – Justice for children; Standard 15 – Case management).
- *Child Protection Outcome Indicators*, Save the Children Child Protection Initiative, December 2012.